How this Process Got Started

• Citizen group formed in response to 2006 CTDOT Viaduct Study—Hub of Hartford

• Mayor Perez asks CTDOT to engage the City in Planning; CTDOT agrees to participate

• CTDOT advances short-term repair project

• This study begins exploration of long-term options
Viaduct Study

• Explore multiple options for the Viaduct
• Consider community/urban design, economic development and transportation perspectives
• Three phases of work: analysis, preliminary alternatives, composite alternatives
• Complete process in April/May 2010
• Three public workshops
• Set stage for more detailed study by CTDOT
Today’s Agenda

• **Review** of current conditions
• **Case studies**: What can we learn from other communities?
• **Small group discussions**—community/urban design; economic development; transportation
• **Report back** on small group discussions
• Discussion about potential **future alternatives**
• **Set stage** for beginning next phase of this study
Viaduct Today: Physical Conditions

- Carries 176,000 vehicles per day
- Eight highway ramps between Sigourney Street and Asylum Avenue
- Requires extensive ongoing maintenance
- Divides the city—community, environmental, economic and transportation consequences
- Creates unattractive environment—underutilized land
Context: Many Related Parts

- Hartford Plan of Conservation and Development
- Hartford 2010 – Tridents
- Tiger Grant Proposal
- I-Quilt
- Hartford-New Britain Busway
- New Haven-Hartford-Springfield commuter rail; high-speed rail
Other Key Factors and Constraints

1 Track: Existing rail (second track proposed)

Viaduct structure begins

Busway is one lane between Sigourney and Flower

Viaduct structure ends

Park River Conduit under EB section of Viaduct

Union Station
Interviews: Overview

- The Viaduct is both an asset and a liability.
- Creative solutions are needed that respond to multiple goals—community, urban design, economic development, transportation.
- Improve connections among city neighborhoods/districts, including Frog Hollow, Asylum Hill, West End, Parkville, Clay/Arsenal, Upper Albany, Downtown.
- Improve connections between downtown and Asylum Hill job centers.
- Viaduct replacement is both needed and expensive.
- Public resources at the state and federal levels are strained to meet infrastructure needs.
- There is no easy answer.
Viaduct Sections Presents Different Challenges

- Sisson/Capitol
- Sigourney
- Flower
- Asylum/Farmington/Broad
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Viaduct Today: Economic Framework

• Hartford Metro Area’s economic potential depends on its access and relationships with the Boston and New York markets.

• I-84 & rail lines are important east/west links to these markets.
Viaduct Today: Economic Framework

• The I-91/Connecticut River Valley is a “Knowledge Corridor”

• Potential to be Connecticut’s “Silicon Valley”

• Downtown Hartford an important anchor

• Downtown must be an attractive place to live, work and play.
• Connecticut is one of the oldest states in the country

• The aging workforce will need replacements

• The State experienced a 14% decrease in population within the 25-44 age group between 1990 and 2004.

• Again, Downtown must appeal to the younger generations by offering an inviting urban environment
Economic Framework

- Union Station potential to become an economic engine

- With transit convergence can be economically explosive
Thinking Ahead

• Market Access
  – Inter & Intra Regional Access
  – Neighborhood Access to Downtown
  – Employee access to job

• Development Opportunities/Value Creation
  – Supports evolution of Union Station
  – Development parcels
  – Transit-oriented development opportunities

• Quality Environment
  – Better connections
  – Remove barriers
Viaduct Today: Transportation Conditions

• High congestion/delays
• High volumes
• Regional, sub-regional and local use
• Function/safety characteristics not optimal
• Frequent repairs required
How do I-84 Viaduct Traffic Volumes Compare to Other Roads?

- NJ Turnpike, Newark: 315,000
- George Washington Bridge, NY/NJ: 300,000
- I-95 Virginia/Washington DC: 280,000
- I-93/Big Dig, Boston: 190,000
- **I-84 Viaduct**: 175,000
- I-195 Providence: 160,000
- Gardiner Expressway, Toronto: 120,000
- Alaskan Way Viaduct, Seattle: 100,000
- I-90 Mass Turnpike, Boston: 100,000
- I-291, Springfield: 80,000
- Syracuse I-81: 90,000
- I-93, Concord NH: 70,000
- Embarcadero Freeway, CA: 60,000
- **Farmington Avenue**: 15,000

*Note: daily traffic; all numbers are approximate; recorded years vary*
Traffic Modeling

• Understanding how I-84 is used today, and who uses it, is a key step in considering future possibilities

• CRCOG has begun this process
40-50% of trips originate or end in Hartford

5-10% of trips originate and end in Hartford

Through Trips: 40-50% of trips pass through the city but originate and end elsewhere.
175,000 Daily Trips on the Viaduct

Estimated trip types from CRCOG model (2005)

• **10,000 originate AND end in Hartford**
  – Hartford residents or businesses travelling to other parts of the city

• **80,000 originate OR end in Hartford**
  – Trips from outside the city to Hartford
  – Residents, businesses, visitors travelling out of the city

• **85,000 originate AND end OUTSIDE of Hartford**
  – Long through trips: *diversion possible*
    ▪ Waterbury to Boston: *good candidate*
    ▪ Waterbury to Springfield: *less likely to divert*
  – Short trips within the region: East Hartford to West Hartford: *no diversion likely*

*Note: Numbers are rounded based on 2005 CRCOG model*
What can we learn from other communities?
Selected Case Studies: Overview

- Boston: “Big Dig”
- Seattle: Alaskan Way Viaduct
- Toronto: Gardiner Expressway
- San Francisco: Embarcadero
- Syracuse: I-81
Big Dig: Boston Central Artery

- Like I-84, carries regional **through traffic and downtown traffic**
- I-93 viaduct was long seen as a **barrier** between downtown, the waterfront and neighborhoods
- Approximately **190,000 vehicles per day** before project
- Project **increased roadway capacity** through tunnel and surface boulevard
- **Highway in tunnel;** surface boulevard carries local traffic
- More than **20-year** construction period
- Overall project cost **$14.6 billion; state paid approximately $6 billion**
Seattle: Alaskan Way Viaduct

- Carries primarily through traffic; does not provide local access
- Creates physical barrier between city and waterfront
- Approximately 100,000 vehicles per day
- Current proposal: replace with a 4-lane bored tunnel that can accommodate 80,000-85,000 vehicles per day for approximately $4.2 billion (state and local funds)
Toronto: Gardiner Expressway

- Carries **downtown traffic** and **some regional through traffic**
- **Barrier** between downtown and the waterfront
- Approximately **120,000 vehicles per day**
- **8-lane surface boulevard proposed** as an alternative
San Francisco: Embarcadero

- Served as a **spur connecting to Bay Bridge**
- Created **barrier** between city and waterfront
- Demolished in 1991 and replaced with an attractive **surface boulevard**
- Freeway carried approximately **60,000 vehicles** per day; replacement boulevard carries approximately **26,000 vehicles**
Syracuse: I-81

- Carries downtown and regional through traffic
- Approximately 90,000 vehicles per day
- Separates downtown from medical/educational institutions
- Onondaga Citizens League recently supported concept of highway removal and replacement with a surface boulevard
- I-481 seen as downtown bypass option
Small Group Discussions

• Groups
  – Community/Urban Design
  – Economic Development
  – Transportation

• What issues should this study consider?
• How would you define success?
What is the possible range of replacement alternatives?
Replacement Alternatives?

- Surface boulevard
- Replacement Viaduct
- Replacement Viaduct with surface boulevard
- Tunnel/depressed alignment
- Tunnel with surface boulevard
- Other options worth considering?
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